Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/815,790	KANAMARU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher RoDee	1756
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>AF</u>	
(1) Christopher RoDee.	(3)	
(2) <u>Johnn Bailey</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>18 April 2005</u>	Time: <u>N/A</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
A380~		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted counsel and discussed the preliminary amendment to the specification. Although applicants may incorporate the previously filed US application into the specification, they may not incorporate the foreign priority document because the document was not previously incorporated in the parent application. The Examiner suggested an amendment to remove the incoporation to the foreign priority document. Counsel gave permission for this formal amendment, raised for the first time after Final..